### Mixed algebras and their logics

lvo Düntsch<sup>1</sup> Ewa Orłowska<sup>2</sup> Tinko Tinchev<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Brock University St. Catharines, Ontario, Canada, L2S 3A1 duentsch@brocku.ca

<sup>2</sup>National Institute of Telecommunications Szachowa 1, 04–894 Warsaw, Poland orlowska@itl.waw.pl

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science Sofia University, Sofia, Bulgaria tinko@fmi.uni-sofia.bg

### Mixed algebras

- A structure  $\mathfrak{B} = \langle B, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, f, g \rangle$  is called a PS-algebra if
  - ► *B* is a Boolean algebra.
  - f is a possibility operator on B, i.e. f(0) = 0 and f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b).
  - g is a sufficiency operator on B, i.e. g(0) = 1 and  $g(a+b) = g(a) \cdot g(b)$ . Define  $h^*(a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -h(a)$ . Then,

f is a possibility operator if and only if  $f^*$  is a sufficiency operator.

• The canonical frame  $Cf(\mathfrak{B})$  of  $\mathfrak{B}$  is the structure  $\langle X, R_f, R_g \rangle$  where X = Ult(B) and

$$\langle F, G \rangle \in R_f \iff G \subseteq f^{-1}(F),$$
  
 $\langle F, G \rangle \in R_g \iff F \cap g[G] \neq \emptyset.$ 

- $\mathfrak{B}$  is called a mixed algebra if  $R_g = R_f$ .
- The class **MIA** of mixed algebras is not first order axiomatizable.

### Weak mixed algebras

- Generalize MIA to the class WMIA of PS algebras which satisfy R<sub>g</sub> ⊆ R<sub>f</sub> in the PS canonical frame (Ult(B), R<sub>f</sub>, R<sub>g</sub>) of 𝔅, i.e. R<sub>f</sub> ∪ −R<sub>g</sub> = Ult(B)<sup>2</sup>.
- ▶ If  $\mathfrak{B} = \langle B, f, g \rangle$  is a PS algebra then  $\mathfrak{B}$  is in WMIA if and only if

$$(\forall a)[a \neq 0 \Rightarrow g(a) \leq f(a)].$$
 (1)

Consider the mapping u: B → B defined by u(a) = f<sup>∂</sup>(a) ⋅ g(-a). Then, 𝔅 is a weak MIA if and only if for all a ∈ B

$$u(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

 Each element of WMIA is a discriminator algebra, hence, WMIA is not an equational class.

### K~- algebras

- ▶  $\mathfrak{B}$  is called a K~-algebra if and only if  $R_f \cup -R_g$  is an equivalence relation.
- The class **KMIA** of  $K^{\sim}$  algebras is equational:

 $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathsf{KMIA}$  if and only if u is an S5 operator, i.e.

$$egin{aligned} u(a) &\leq a, \ u(a) &\leq u(u(a)), \ a &\leq u(u^\partial(a)). \end{aligned}$$

• Eq(WMIA) = KMIA.

### Mixed frames

If X = ⟨X, R, S⟩ is a frame with two binary relations, its mixed complex algebra is the structure Cm(X) = ⟨2<sup>X</sup>, ⟨R⟩, [[S]]⟩, where

$$\langle R \rangle (A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ x : (\exists y) [xRy \text{ and } y \in A] \} = \{ x : R(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset \}$$
 "Possibility"  
$$[S]](A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ x : (\forall y) [y \in A \Rightarrow xSy] \} = \{ x : A \subseteq S(x) \}.$$
 "Sufficiency"

- $\mathscr{X}$  is called a MIA frame if S = R.
- $\mathscr{X}$  is called a weak MIA frame if  $S \subseteq R$ .
- ▶  $\mathscr{X}$  is called a  $K^{\sim}$  frame if  $R \cup -S$  is an equivalence relation.

#### Theorem 1.

- The canonical frame of a weak mixed algebra (K~- algebra) is a weak MIA frame (K~ frame).
- The complex algebra of a weak MIA frame (K~ frame) is in WMIA (KMIA).
- 3. Each weak MIA (KMIA) can be embedded into the complex algebra of its canonical frame.

## The logics K and $K^*$

• The logic K is a Boolean logic with a modal operator  $\Box$  whose axioms are

$$\vdash \Box(\phi \to \psi) \to \Box \phi \to \Box \psi \tag{2}$$

If 
$$\vdash \varphi$$
, then  $\vdash \Box \varphi$ . (3)

Frame models are relational structures (X, R, v) in such a way that for a valuation v : Fml<sup>K</sup> → 2<sup>X</sup> its action with respect to □ is given by

$$x \in v(\Box \varphi) \Longleftrightarrow R(x) \subseteq v(\varphi).$$

▶ The logic  $K^{\star}$  is a Boolean logic with a modal operator  $\square$  whose axioms are

$$\vdash \Box \neg (\varphi \to \psi) \to (\Box \neg \varphi \to \Box \neg \psi) \tag{4}$$

If 
$$\vdash \varphi$$
, then  $\vdash \Box \neg \varphi$ . (5)

Frame models are relational structures (X, S, v) in such a way that for a valuation v : Fml<sup>\*</sup> → 2<sup>X</sup> its action with respect to □ is given by

$$x \in v(\Box \varphi) \Longleftrightarrow v(\varphi) \subseteq S(x),$$

Correspondence:

$$\langle X, R, v \rangle, x \models \Box \varphi \iff \langle X, X^2 \setminus R, v \rangle, x \models \Box \neg \varphi.$$

# The logic $K^{\sim}$ (Gargov et al. [3])

- Modal operators:  $\Box$  (necessity) and  $\Box$  (sufficiency).
- ► In addition to axioms for  $\Box$  and  $\Box$ , the auxiliary operator  $[U]\varphi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Box \varphi \land \Box \neg \varphi$  is an S5 modality, i.e.

 $[U] \varphi o \varphi, \ [U] \varphi o [U] [U] \varphi, \ \varphi o [U] \langle U \rangle \varphi.$ 

Frame models have the form M = ⟨X, R, S, v⟩ where S ⊆ R. With respect to the modal operators, a valuation v acts as follows:

$$x \in v(\Box \varphi) \iff R(x) \subseteq v(\varphi),$$
 Necessity [R]  
 $x \in v(\Box \varphi) \iff v(\varphi) \subseteq S(x).$  Sufficiency [[S]]

A model  $\langle X, R, S, v \rangle$  of  $K^{\sim}$  is called special if R = S

#### Theorem 2. Gargov et al. [3]

- 1.  $K^{\sim}$  is sound and complete with respect to its class of frame models.
- 2. Each model of  $K^{\sim}$  is modally equivalent to a special model.

**Theorem 3.** For all  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Fml}^{K^{\sim}}$ ,  $K^{\sim} \models \varphi$  if and only if  $\operatorname{KMIA} \models \varphi$ . **Theorem 4.** If  $\varphi$  is a formula in  $K^{\sim}$ , then  $K^{\sim} \vdash \varphi$  if and only if  $\operatorname{KMIA} \models \varphi$ . Putting together the completeness results and Theorem 2 we have **Theorem 5.**Let  $\mathfrak{B} = \langle B, f, g \rangle \in \operatorname{KMIA}$ . Then, there is some frame  $\langle X, R \rangle$  such

**Theorem 5.** Let  $\mathfrak{B} = \langle B, t, g \rangle \in \mathsf{KNIA}$ . Then, there is some frame  $\langle X, R \rangle$  such that  $\langle B, f, g \rangle$  and a subalgebra of  $\langle 2^X, \langle R \rangle, [[R]] \rangle$  satisfy the same equations.

## References

- Düntsch, I. and Orłowska, E. (2000). Beyond modalities: Sufficiency and mixed algebras. In Orłowska, E. and Szałas, A., editors, *Relational Methods in Computer Science Applications*, pages 277–299, Heidelberg. Physica Verlag.
- [2] Düntsch, I. and Orłowska, E. (2004). Boolean algebras arising from information systems. *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 127:77–98.
- [3] Gargov, G., Passy, S., and Tinchev, T. (1987). Modal Environment for Boolean Speculations. In Skordev, D., editor, *Mathematical Logic and Applications*, pages 253–263, New York. Plenum Press.
- [4] Goldblatt, R. (1974). Semantic analysis of orthologic. Journal of Philosophical Logic, 3:19–35.
- [5] Humberstone, I. L. (1983). Inaccessible worlds. Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, 24:346–352.
- [6] Jónsson, B. and Tarski, A. (1951). Boolean algebras with operators I. American Journal of Mathematics, 73:891–939.
- [7] van Benthem, J. (1979). Minimal deontic logics (Abstract). Bulletin of the Section of Logic, 8:36-42.



Köszönöm Mnogo blagodarya Děkuji Dziekuje Grazie Thank you Danke Merci