

2-categories in algebra and elsewhere

Geza Jenča
Anna Jenčová

AAA 2016 Brno

The category of sets and relations

...denoted by **Rel**.

The category of sets and relations

...denoted by **Rel**.

- ▶ Objects: sets.

The category of sets and relations

...denoted by **Rel**.

- ▶ Objects: sets.
- ▶ Morphisms: binary relations; $f : A \rightarrow B$ in **Rel** is a set of pairs $f \subseteq A \times B$.

The category of sets and relations

...denoted by **Rel**.

- ▶ Objects: sets.
- ▶ Morphisms: binary relations; $f : A \rightarrow B$ in **Rel** is a set of pairs $f \subseteq A \times B$.
- ▶ Identities: $id_A : A \rightarrow A$ is the identity relation.
- ▶ Composition: if $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$, then $(a, c) \in g \circ f$ iff there exists $b \in B$ such that $(a, b) \in f$ and $(b, c) \in g$.

Rel is a monoidal category

- ▶ In addition, we may equip the category **Rel** with a “tensor product” \times ,

Rel is a monoidal category

- ▶ In addition, we may equip the category **Rel** with a “tensor product” \times ,
- ▶ which is just the direct product of sets.

Rel is a monoidal category

- ▶ In addition, we may equip the category **Rel** with a “tensor product” \times ,
- ▶ which is just the direct product of sets.
- ▶ Of course, it is associative and the one element set 1 is a neutral element.

Rel is a monoidal category

- ▶ In addition, we may equip the category **Rel** with a “tensor product” \times ,
- ▶ which is just the direct product of sets.
- ▶ Of course, it is associative and the one element set 1 is a neutral element.
- ▶ So, $(\mathbf{Rel}, \times, 1)$ is a monoidal category.

Rel is a monoidal category

- ▶ In addition, we may equip the category **Rel** with a “tensor product” \times ,
- ▶ which is just the direct product of sets.
- ▶ Of course, it is associative and the one element set 1 is a neutral element.
- ▶ So, $(\mathbf{Rel}, \times, 1)$ is a monoidal category.
- ▶ However, \times is not a categorical product in **Rel**.

Monoids in a monoidal category

Recall, that a monoid is a monoidal category $(C, \otimes, 1)$ is a triple (A, m, e) , where A is an object of C , $m : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and $e : 1 \rightarrow A$ are arrows

Monoids in a monoidal category

Recall, that a monoid is a monoidal category $(C, \otimes, 1)$ is a triple (A, m, e) , where A is an object of C , $m : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and $e : 1 \rightarrow A$ are arrows such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 \otimes A & \xrightarrow{e \otimes id_A} & A \otimes A & \xleftarrow{id_A \otimes e} & A \otimes 1 \\ & \searrow \cong & \downarrow m & \swarrow \cong & \\ & & A & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A \otimes A) \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\cong} & A \otimes (A \otimes A) \\ m \otimes id_A \downarrow & & \downarrow id_A \otimes m \\ A \otimes A & & A \otimes A \\ & \searrow m & \swarrow m \\ & & A \end{array}$$

Examples of monoids

- ▶ Monoids in $(\mathbf{Set}, \times, 1)$ are ordinary monoids.

Examples of monoids

- ▶ Monoids in $(\mathbf{Set}, \times, 1)$ are ordinary monoids.
- ▶ Monoids in the monoidal category of Abelian groups $(\mathbf{Ab}, \otimes, \mathbb{Z})$ are rings.

Examples of monoids

- ▶ Monoids in $(\mathbf{Set}, \times, 1)$ are ordinary monoids.
- ▶ Monoids in the monoidal category of Abelian groups $(\mathbf{Ab}, \otimes, \mathbb{Z})$ are rings.
- ▶ Monoids in the monoidal category of complete join semilattices $(\mathbf{Sup}, \otimes, 2)$ are quantales.

Examples of monoids

- ▶ Monoids in $(\mathbf{Set}, \times, 1)$ are ordinary monoids.
- ▶ Monoids in the monoidal category of Abelian groups $(\mathbf{Ab}, \otimes, \mathbb{Z})$ are rings.
- ▶ Monoids in the monoidal category of complete join semilattices $(\mathbf{Sup}, \otimes, 2)$ are quantales.
- ▶ Monoids in the monoidal category of ordinary monoids $(\mathbf{Mon}, \times, 1)$ are commutative monoids.

Monoids in **Rel**

So a monoid in the monoidal category $(\mathbf{Rel}, \times, 1)$ consists of

- ▶ a set M ,
- ▶ a relation $e : 1 \rightarrow M$ and
- ▶ a relation $* : M \times M \rightarrow M$.

such that some diagrams commute.

We call these objects relational monoids

When dealing with monoids in **Rel**, one should bear in mind that both $* : A \times A \rightarrow A$ and $e : 1 \rightarrow A$ are relations, and not mappings.

When dealing with monoids in **Rel**, one should bear in mind that both $* : A \times A \rightarrow A$ and $e : 1 \rightarrow A$ are relations, and not mappings. That means, among other things, that

- ▶ e is (essentially) a subset of the underlying set, rather than an element;
- ▶ it is misleading to write $a * b = c$ to express the fact that (a, b) is in the relation $*$ with c ;
- ▶ we write $(a, b) \overset{*}{\mapsto} c$ instead.

Classes of monoids in **Rel**

- ▶ Ordinary monoids are monoids in **Rel**.

Classes of monoids in **Rel**

- ▶ Ordinary monoids are monoids in **Rel**.
- ▶ Hypergroups/hypermonoids are monoids in **Rel**.

Classes of monoids in **Rel**

- ▶ Ordinary monoids are monoids in **Rel**.
- ▶ Hypergroups/hypermonoids are monoids in **Rel**.
- ▶ Partial monoids (including effect algebras and some of their generalizations) are monoids in **Rel**.

Classes of monoids in **Rel**

- ▶ Ordinary monoids are monoids in **Rel**.
- ▶ Hypergroups/hypermonoids are monoids in **Rel**.
- ▶ Partial monoids (including effect algebras and some of their generalizations) are monoids in **Rel**.
- ▶ Small categories are monoids in **Rel**:

Classes of monoids in **Rel**

- ▶ Ordinary monoids are monoids in **Rel**.
- ▶ Hypergroups/hypermonoids are monoids in **Rel**.
- ▶ Partial monoids (including effect algebras and some of their generalizations) are monoids in **Rel**.
- ▶ Small categories are monoids in **Rel**:
 - ▶ elements are arrows,

Classes of monoids in **Rel**

- ▶ Ordinary monoids are monoids in **Rel**.
- ▶ Hypergroups/hypermonoids are monoids in **Rel**.
- ▶ Partial monoids (including effect algebras and some of their generalizations) are monoids in **Rel**.
- ▶ Small categories are monoids in **Rel**:
 - ▶ elements are arrows,
 - ▶ the $e : 1 \rightarrow M$ is the selection of identity arrows.

Morphisms of monoids in **Rel**

The class of monoids in a monoidal category comes equipped with a standard notion of morphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times M & \xrightarrow{h \times h} & M' \times M' \\ \downarrow * & & \downarrow * \\ M & \xrightarrow{h} & M' \end{array}$$

however, this notion does not work in the examples we are interested in.

Rel as a 2-category

- ▶ A relation $f \subseteq A \times B$ is a set of pairs, so
- ▶ every homset $\mathbf{Rel}(A, B)$ is a poset under \subseteq .

Rel as a 2-category

- ▶ A relation $f \subseteq A \times B$ is a set of pairs, so
- ▶ every homset $\mathbf{Rel}(A, B)$ is a poset under \subseteq .
- ▶ So for two arrows $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ we may have a 2-arrow $f \rightarrow g$

Rel as a 2-category

- ▶ A relation $f \subseteq A \times B$ is a set of pairs, so
- ▶ every homset $\mathbf{Rel}(A, B)$ is a poset under \subseteq .
- ▶ So for two arrows $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ we may have a 2-arrow $f \rightarrow g$
- ▶ which is simply the fact that $f \subseteq g$.

Rel as a 2-category

- ▶ A relation $f \subseteq A \times B$ is a set of pairs, so
- ▶ every homset $\mathbf{Rel}(A, B)$ is a poset under \subseteq .
- ▶ So for two arrows $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ we may have a 2-arrow $f \rightarrow g$
- ▶ which is simply the fact that $f \subseteq g$.
- ▶ This structure satisfies the axioms of a 2-category

Morphisms of monoids in **Rel**

There are several meaningful notions of morphisms of monoids in **Rel**.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times M & \xrightarrow{h \times h} & M' \times M' \\ \downarrow * & \nearrow & \downarrow * \\ M & \xrightarrow{h} & M' \end{array}$$

Lax morphism

$$(h \circ *) \subseteq (* \circ (h \times h))$$

$$h \circ e \subseteq e$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times M & \xrightarrow{h \times h} & M' \times M' \\ \downarrow * & \searrow & \downarrow * \\ M & \xrightarrow{h} & M' \end{array}$$

Oplax morphism

$$(h \circ *) \supseteq (* \circ (h \times h))$$

$$e \subseteq h \circ e$$

Category of relational monoids **RelMon**

By Category of relational monoids we mean a 2-category

- ▶ 0-cells are relational monoids,
- ▶ 1-cells are lax morphisms of relational monoids,
- ▶ 2-cells are the \subseteq of relations, inherited from **Rel**.

Category of relational monoids **RelMon**

By Category of relational monoids we mean a 2-category

- ▶ 0-cells are relational monoids,
- ▶ 1-cells are lax morphisms of relational monoids,
- ▶ 2-cells are the \subseteq of relations, inherited from **Rel**.

- ▶ The category of small categories is a 1-subcategory of this category.
- ▶ The category of partial monoids is a subcategory of this category.

Effect algebras

An effect algebra ([Foulis and Bennett, 1994, Kôpka and Chovanec, 1994, Giuntini and Greuling, 1989]) is a partial algebra $(E; +, 0, 1)$ with a binary partial operation $+$ and two nullary operations $0, 1$ such that $+$ is commutative, associative and the following pair of conditions is satisfied:

- (E3) For every $a \in E$ there is a unique $a' \in E$ such that $a + a'$ exists and $a + a' = 1$.
- (E4) If $a + 1$ is defined, then $a = 0$.

The $+$ operation is then cancellative and 0 is a neutral element.

Why?

- ▶ Because **RelMon** is a 2-category, so it has a lot of structure.

Why?

- ▶ Because **RelMon** is a 2-category, so it has a lot of structure.
- ▶ We can take the standard definitions of 2-categorical things from **RelMon** and examine what they mean for effect algebras.

Why?

- ▶ Because **RelMon** is a 2-category, so it has a lot of structure.
- ▶ We can take the standard definitions of 2-categorical things from **RelMon** and examine what they mean for effect algebras.
- ▶ We rediscover well-known notions, but now we know where they are coming from.

Easy and nice

Let E be an effect algebra.

Easy and nice

Let E be an effect algebra.

- ▶ $\leq: E \rightarrow E$ is a left Kan extension of $+$ along the projection $p_1: E \times E \rightarrow E$.

Easy and nice

Let E be an effect algebra.

- ▶ $\leq: E \rightarrow E$ is a left Kan extension of $+$ along the projection $p_1: E \times E \rightarrow E$.
- ▶ An effect algebra E satisfies the Riesz decomposition property iff \geq is an endomorphism of E .

Adjoint pairs of morphisms in **RelMon**

Since **RelMon** is a 2-category, we may speak about adjoint pairs of morphisms in **RelMon**. Unwinding the definition, it turns out every left adjoint in **RelMon** is a mapping.

Let

- ▶ A, B be relational monoids,
- ▶ $f : A \rightarrow B$,
- ▶ $g : B \rightarrow A$.

Then the morphism f is left adjoint to the morphism g , if and only if f is a mapping and $g = f^{-1}$.

Left adjoints in **RelMon**

From this, we obtain a characterization of left adjoints:

Proposition

*A morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ in **RelMon** is a left adjoint if and only if f is a mapping and*

- ▶ *for all $b_1, b_2 \in B$ and $a \in A$ such that $(b_1, b_2) \mapsto^* f(a)$,*
- ▶ *there exist $a_1, a_2 \in A$ such that $b_1 = f(a_1)$, $b_2 = f(a_2)$ and $(a_1, a_2) \mapsto^* a$.*

What if A and B are effect algebras?

Theorem

Let A, B be effect algebras, let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of effect algebras. Then f is a left adjoint in **RelMon** iff

What if A and B are effect algebras?

Theorem

Let A, B be effect algebras, let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of effect algebras. Then f is a left adjoint in **RelMon** iff

- ▶ f is surjective,
- ▶ $f^{-1}(0) = 0$ and
- ▶ the equivalence on A induced by f is an effect algebra congruence in the sense of ([Gudder and Pulmannová, 1998]).

Monads in RelMon

Since **RelMon** is a 2-category, we may speak about monads in **RelMon**.

A monad in **RelMon** on a relational monoid A can be characterized as a preorder relation $\leq: A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times A & \xrightarrow{\leq \times \leq} & A \times A \\ \downarrow * & \nearrow & \downarrow * \\ A & \xrightarrow{\leq} & A \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \xrightarrow{e} & A \\ & \searrow e & \downarrow \leq \\ & & A \end{array}$$

commute.

Monads arising from adjunctions in 2-categories

- ▶ In every 2-category, an adjoint pair of morphisms gives rise to a monad.

Monads arising from adjunctions in 2-categories

- ▶ In every 2-category, an adjoint pair of morphisms gives rise to a monad.
- ▶ In **Cat**, every monad arises from an adjoint pair (Eilenberg-Moore, Kleisli).

Monads arising from adjunctions in 2-categories

- ▶ In every 2-category, an adjoint pair of morphisms gives rise to a monad.
- ▶ In **Cat**, every monad arises from an adjoint pair (Eilenberg-Moore, Kleisli).
- ▶ But this is not true in every 2-category.
- ▶ In particular, in **Rel** the monads (=preorders) arising from adjunctions can be characterized as equivalence relations.

Monads arising from adjunctions in **RelMon**

If a monad $\sim: A \rightarrow A$ arises from an adjunction, then

- ▶ \sim is an equivalence relation,
- ▶ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times A & \xrightarrow{\sim \times \sim} & A \times A \\ \downarrow * & \nearrow & \downarrow * \\ A & \xrightarrow{\sim} & A \end{array}$$

commutes and

- ▶ if x is a unit of A and $x \sim y$, then y is a unit of A .

Monads arising from adjunctions in **RelMon**

If the multiplication is actually a partial operation, we obtain another property of a monad arising from an adjunction:

- ▶ If $a_1 \sim b_1$, $a_2 \sim b_2$ and both $a_1 * a_2$ and $b_1 * b_2$ exist, then $a_1 * a_2 \sim b_1 * b_2$.

“Dimension equivalences” on effect algebras

For an effect algebra E , we may characterize monads $\sim: E \rightarrow E$ arising from adjunctions in **RelMon** as follows:

- ▶ \sim is an equivalence.
- ▶ If $a_1 \sim b_1$, $a_2 \sim b_2$ and both $a_1 + a_2$ and $b_1 + b_2$ exist, then $a_1 + a_2 \sim b_1 + b_2$.
- ▶ If $a \sim b_1 + b_2$, then there are a_1, a_2 such that $a = a_1 + a_2$, $a_1 \sim b_1$, $a_2 \sim b_2$.
- ▶ $[0]_{\sim} = \{0\}$.

E/\sim is then a partial monoid.

Example

- ▶ Take a Boolean algebra B ; this is an effect algebra with $+$ being the disjoint join.
- ▶ Introduce a equivalence on B by the rule

$$a \sim b \Leftrightarrow [0, a] \simeq [0, b]$$

Then this is a dimension equivalence.

A more fancy example

- ▶ Let A be an involutive ring with unit, in which

$$x^*x + y^*y = 0 \implies x = y = 0.$$

- ▶ Let $P(A)$ be the set of all self-adjoint idempotents in A . For $e, f \in P(A)$, write $e \oplus f = e + f$ iff $ef = 0$, otherwise let $e \oplus f$ be undefined. Then $(P(A); \oplus, 0, 1)$ is an effect algebra.
- ▶ For e, f in $P(A)$, write $e \sim f$ iff there is $w \in A$ such that $e = w^*w$ and $f = ww^*$.
- ▶ Then this is a dimension equivalence.

Theorem

([Dvurečenskij and Pulmannová, 2000]) For every cancellative positive partial abelian monoid E and every dimension equivalence \sim on P , P / \sim is a positive partial abelian monoid.

Theorem

([Dvurečenskij and Pulmannová, 2000]) For every cancellative positive partial abelian monoid E and every dimension equivalence \sim on P , P / \sim is a positive partial abelian monoid.

A new perspective:

Theorem

([Dvurečenskij and Pulmannová, 2000]) For every cancellative positive partial abelian monoid E and every dimension equivalence \sim on P , P / \sim is a positive partial abelian monoid.

A new perspective:

- ▶ P / \sim is the EM-object for the monad \sim .

What about other monads?

Example

Consider the monoid $(\mathbb{N}, +, 0)$. This is a monoid in **Rel**. The divisibility relation $| : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is an example of a monad on it.

Monads on lattices

- ▶ Recall: every poset is a category;

Monads on lattices

- ▶ Recall: every poset is a category;
- ▶ every category is a relational monoid.

Monads on lattices

- ▶ Recall: every poset is a category;
- ▶ every category is a relational monoid.
- ▶ So every poset is a relational monoid,

Monads on lattices

- ▶ Recall: every poset is a category;
- ▶ every category is a relational monoid.
- ▶ So every poset is a relational monoid,
- ▶ the elements of the monoid are the arrows = comparable pairs.

Monads on lattices

- ▶ Recall: every poset is a category;
- ▶ every category is a relational monoid.
- ▶ So every poset is a relational monoid,
- ▶ the elements of the monoid are the arrows = comparable pairs.
- ▶ In particular, every lattice is a poset, so every lattice is a relational monoid.






Monads on lattices

- ▶ Recall: every poset is a category;
- ▶ every category is a relational monoid.
- ▶ So every poset is a relational monoid,
- ▶ the elements of the monoid are the arrows = comparable pairs.
- ▶ In particular, every lattice is a poset, so every lattice is a relational monoid.
- ▶ Recall: every lattice has a naturally associated poset $(Q(L), \nearrow)$, where
- ▶ $Q(L)$ is the set of all quotients = comparable pairs.

Monads on lattices

Theorem

$Q(L)$ is a monad on L if and only if L is modular.

-  A. Dvurečenskij and S. Pulmannová.
New Trends in Quantum Structures.
Kluwer, Dordrecht and Ister Science, Bratislava, 2000.
-  D.J. Foulis and M.K. Bennett.
Effect algebras and unsharp quantum logics.
Found. Phys., 24:1325–1346, 1994.
-  R. Giuntini and H. Greuling.
Toward a formal language for unsharp properties.
Found. Phys., 19:931–945, 1989.
-  S. Gudder and S. Pulmannová.
Quotients of partial abelian monoids.
Algebra univers., 38:395–421, 1998.
-  F. Kôpka and F. Chovanec.
D-posets.
Math. Slovaca, 44:21–34, 1994.