# Algebraic characterization of temporal logics on forests

Szabolcs Iván University of Szeged

# AAA'91 6 February, 2016, Brno

Szabolcs IvánUniversity of Szeged Algebraic characterization of temporal logics (AAA'916 February, 2016, Brno 1 / 12

#### Roadmap

- Forests, trees
- Forest automata, regular forests
- The Forest Logics  $\operatorname{FL}(\mathcal{L})$
- Algebraic characterization of the languages definable in the logics
- Application: a decidable fragment of CTL

# Origins

The Forest Logics studied here is originating from the work of Ésik (2005) (difference: forests, separating tree and forest formulas) and similar to the work of Bojanczyk, Straubing and Walukiewicz (2012) (difference: modality evaluation is consistent for trees here). The general algebraic characterization and the decidability result of the given fragment draws heavily from Ésik (2005) and Ésik and Iván (2008).

A = A = A = A = A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

# An alphabet is a finite nonempty set A.

## Trees, forests

Trees and forests over some alphabet A are defined via mutual recursion as

- if  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n$  are trees for some  $n \ge 0$ , then the ordered sum  $t_1 + \ldots + t_n$  is a forest;
- if s is a forest and  $a \in A$  is a symbol, then a(s) is a tree.
- nothing else is a tree nor a forest.
- In particular, the empty forest, denoted **0** is a forest.
- For  $A = \{a, b\}$ ,  $a(\mathbf{0})$  is a tree and  $a(\mathbf{0}) + b(\mathbf{0}) + a(\mathbf{0})$  is a forest. Omitting zeros: a + b + a.
- Also: a(a + b(a)) + b(b + a(b)) + a.

3

#### Forest automata

A (finite) forest automaton over an alphabet A is a system  $\mathcal{M} = (Q, +, 0, A, \cdot)$  where

- (Q, +, 0) is a (finite) monoid, its elements are also called states,
- the function  $\cdot$  maps  $A \times Q$  to Q.

### Evaluation

In  $\mathcal M$  above, each tree t and forest s evaluates to a state  $t^{\mathcal M}$  and  $s^{\mathcal M}$  respectively as

• 
$$(t_1 + t_2 + ... + t_n)^{\mathcal{M}} = t_1^{\mathcal{M}} + t_2^{\mathcal{M}} + ... + t_n^{\mathcal{M}}$$
 and

• 
$$(a(s))^{\mathcal{M}} = a \cdot (s^{\mathcal{M}}).$$

In particular, the empty forest **0** evaluates to 0.

A = A = A = A = A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

A forest language (over A) is an arbitrary set of A-forests.

The forest automaton  $\mathcal{M} = (Q, +, 0, A, \cdot)$  equipped with a set  $F \subseteq Q$  of final states recognizes the forest language

$$L(\mathcal{M}, F) = \{s \in F_A : s^{\mathcal{M}} \in F\}.$$

A forest language is called regular if it can be recognized by some finite forest automaton by some set of final states.

Each regular forest language L has a minimal forest automaton  $\mathcal{M}(L)$ , unique up to isomorphism, which can be computed in polynomial time from any forest automaton recognizing L.

< 口 > < 同 >

# Logics

# Forest formulas

- $s \models \top$ ,  $s \not\models \bot$
- $\varphi \lor \psi$ ,  $\neg \varphi$
- $L \subseteq F_B$  a forest language over  $B, (\varphi_b)_{b \in B}$  a set of tree formulas over A:  $L[b \mapsto \varphi_b]_{b \in B}$

## Tree formulas

 all forest formulas, same semantics (a tree is a forest of a single tree)

(日)

3

• 
$$\varphi \lor \psi$$
,  $\neg \varphi$ 

# Example

$$L_{\mathrm{EX}} = \{ s \in F_{\{0,1\}} : \exists \text{ depth-one node labeled } 1 \}$$

$$(b) = (c) = (c) + (c)$$

When  $\mathcal{L}$  is a class of forest languages, let  $FL(\mathcal{L})$  stand for the logics whose forest formulas of the form  $L[b \mapsto \varphi_b]$  are allowed only if  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ . Let  $FL(\mathcal{L})$  stand for the forest languages definable in  $FL(\mathcal{L})$ .

### Example

The forest language over  $\{a, b, c\}$  consisting of exactly those forests having a depth-one node labeled *a* having a child labeled *b* having a child labeled *a* and a child labeled *c* is in **FL**( $\{L_{EX}\}$ ).

# Definability problem

Fix some class  $\mathcal{L}$  of regular forest languages (or "modalities"). Is it decidable, given some forest language L, with (say) its minimal forest automaton, whether  $L \in \mathbf{FL}(\mathcal{L})$  holds?

Let  $\mathcal{M}_1 = (Q_1, +_1, 0_1, A, \cdot_1)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2 = (Q_2, +_2, 0_2, B, \cdot_2)$  be forest automata and  $\alpha : Q_1 \times A \to B$  be a control function, then the Moore product  $\mathcal{M}_1 \times_{\alpha} \mathcal{M}_2$  is the forest automaton

 $(Q_1 \times Q_2, +, (0_1, 0_2), A, \cdot)$ 

with  $(q_1, q_2) + (q_1', q_2') = (q_1 +_1 q_1', q_2 +_2 q_2')$  and

 $\mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2) = (\mathbf{a} \cdot_1 \mathbf{q}_1, \alpha(\mathbf{a} \cdot_1 \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{a}) \cdot_2 \mathbf{q}_2).$ 

#### Theorem

Suppose  $\mathcal{L}$  is a class of regular forest languages such that for each modality  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  and forest language K recognizable in  $\mathcal{M}(L)$  we have  $K \in FL(\mathcal{L})$ . Then the following are equivalent for any forest language L:

- L is definable in  $FL(\mathcal{L})$
- *M*(*L*) is contained in the least class of forest automata which contains each forest automaton *M*(*K*), *K* ∈ *L*, and is closed under renamings, subautomata, homomorphic images and Moore products.

#### Note

This does not gives an effective characterization.

→ 3 → 4 3

## $\mathrm{EF}^*$

The formulas of the logic  $EF^*$  over some alphabet A are

- $\top$ ,  $\perp$  are forest formulas,
- $a \in A$  are tree formulas,
- $\varphi \lor \psi$ ,  $\neg \varphi$  are also forest (tree) formulas if so are  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ ,
- every tree formula is a forest formula as well,
- $\mathrm{EF}^* \varphi$ , with  $\varphi$  being a tree formula.

A forest s satisfies  $EF^*\varphi$  iff some subtree of s satisfies  $\varphi$ .

In particular, if s = a(s') is a tree satisfying  $\varphi$ , then s satisfies  $\mathrm{EF}^*\varphi$  as well.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

#### Theorem

Let  $L \subseteq F_A$  be a regular forest language,  $\mathcal{M}(L) = (Q, +, 0, A, \cdot)$  be its minimal forest automaton and  $\leq$  be the reflexive-transitive closure of  $q \leq a \cdot q$ ,  $q \leq p + q$ .

Then L is definable in  $EF^*$  if and only if all the following conditions hold:

- (Q, +, 0) is a commutative monoid;
- aap = ap for each  $a \in A$ ,  $p \in Q$ ;
- ≤ is a partial ordering;
- $p \leq q$  implies p + q = q for each  $p, q \in Q$ .

米部 とくほど くほとし ほ

- A class of logics is defined on forests (here: ordered vectors of finite, ordered, unranked trees).
- We gave an algebraic characterization of the languages definable in these logics by means of the Moore product.
- Using the above characterization we showed that definability in the  ${
  m CTL}$ -fragment  ${
  m EF}^*$  is decidable in low polynomial time.
- Many open problems remain, one of them being the definability of CTL itself.